

BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC

100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500

Garden City, New York 11530

Tel: (516) 203-7600

Fax: (516) 706-5055

Email: *ConsumerRights@BarshaySanders.com*

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Our File No.: 113735

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

Salvatore Gualtieri and Sandra J. Roncone,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Financial Recovery Services, Inc.,

Defendant.

Docket No:

COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Salvatore Gualtieri and Sandra J. Roncone (hereinafter referred to collectively as “*Plaintiffs*”), by and through the undersigned counsel, complain, state and allege against Financial Recovery Services, Inc.(hereinafter referred to as “*Defendant*”), as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action seeks to recover for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* (“FDCPA”).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has federal subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d).

3. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this Judicial District.

4. At all relevant times, Defendant conducted business within the State of New York.

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff Salvatore Gualtieri is an individual who is a citizen of the State of New York residing in Suffolk County, New York.

6. Plaintiff Sandra J. Roncone is an individual who is a citizen of the State of New York residing in Suffolk County, New York.

7. Plaintiffs are “consumers” as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

8. On information and belief, Defendant Financial Recovery Services, Inc., is a Minnesota Corporation with a principal place of business in HENNEPIN County, Minnesota.

9. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.

10. Defendant is a “debt collector” as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

ALLEGATIONS

11. Defendant alleges each of the Plaintiffs owe a debt (“the Debts”).

12. The Debts were primarily for personal, family or household purposes and are therefore “debts” as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

13. Sometime after the incurrence of the Debts, Plaintiffs fell behind on payments owed.

14. Thereafter, at an exact time known only to Defendant, the Debts were assigned or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection.

15. In its efforts to collect the debt alleged owed by Plaintiff Gualtieri, Defendant contacted Plaintiff Gualtieri by letter (“the Letter”) dated May 2, 2017. (“**Exhibit 1**.”)

16. In its efforts to collect the debt alleged owed by Plaintiff Sandra J. Roncone, Defendant contacted Plaintiff Sandra J. Roncone by letter (“the Letter”) dated March 8, 2017. (“**Exhibit 1**.”)

17. The Letter was the initial communication Plaintiff Gualtieri received from Defendant.

18. The Letters are “communications” as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

FIRST COUNT
Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e
AS TO PLAINTIFF GUALTIERI

19. Plaintiff Gualtieri repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

20. The Debt was incurred on a Barclaycard Visa Rewards credit card underwritten by Barclays Bank Delaware (“Barclays”).

21. The Letter sets forth a “Balance Due” of \$2,650.25.

22. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card, Barclays Bank charged Plaintiff interest on any balance carried on the account.

23. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card, Barclays Bank charged Plaintiff late fees on any payments due but not timely made by Plaintiff.

24. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card, Barclays Bank charged Plaintiff other fees on the account.

25. The right to collect from Plaintiff interest on any balance carried on the account was not waived by Barclays Bank.

26. The right to collect from Plaintiff late fees on any payments due but not timely made by Plaintiff was not waived by Barclays Bank.

27. The right to collect from Plaintiff other fees on the account was not waived by Barclays Bank.

28. The right to collect from Plaintiff interest on any balance carried on the account was not waived by any assignee or successor-in-interest.

29. The right to collect from Plaintiff late fees on any payments due but not timely made by Plaintiff was not waived by any assignee or successor-in-interest.

30. The right to collect from Plaintiff other fees on the account was not waived by any assignee or successor-in-interest.

31. Plaintiff was never informed by anyone that the terms and conditions of the credit card were changed.

32. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card, interest continued to accrue on any balance unpaid.

33. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card, late fees continued to

accrue on any payments due but not timely made by Plaintiff.

34. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card, other fees continued to accrue on the account.

35. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card, Barclays Bank and any assignee or successor-in-interest had the legal right to collect from Plaintiff interest on any balance carried on the account.

36. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card, Barclays Bank and any assignee or successor-in-interest had the legal right to collect from Plaintiff late fees on any payments due but not timely made by Plaintiff.

37. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card, Barclays Bank and any assignee or successor-in-interest had the legal right to collect from Plaintiff other fees on the account.

38. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card, the legal right of Barclays Bank and any assignee or successor-in-interest to collect from Plaintiff interest on any balance carried on the account is not waived by Barclays Bank or any assignee or successor-in-interest as a result of a failure by either Barclays Bank or any assignee or successor-in-interest at any point in time to attempt to collect from Plaintiff the aforementioned interest.

39. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card, the legal right of Barclays Bank and any assignee or successor-in-interest to collect from Plaintiff late fees on any payments due but not timely made by Plaintiff is not waived by Barclays Bank or any assignee or successor-in-interest as a result of a failure by either Barclays Bank or any assignee or successor-in-interest at any point in time to attempt to collect from Plaintiff the aforementioned late fees.

40. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card, the legal right of Barclays Bank and any assignee or successor-in-interest to collect from Plaintiff other fees on the account is not waived by Barclays Bank or any assignee or successor-in-interest as a result of a failure by either Barclays Bank or any assignee or successor-in-interest at any point in time to attempt to collect from Plaintiff the aforementioned other fees.

41. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e requires debt collectors, when they notify consumers of their account balance, to disclose that the balance may increase due to interest and fees.

42. The Letter failed to disclose that the balance stated may increase due to interest.

43. The Letter failed to disclose that the balance stated may increase due to late fees.

- 44. The Letter failed to disclose that the balance stated may increase due to other fees.
- 45. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

SECOND COUNT
Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e
AS TO PLAINTIFF GUALTIERI

46. Plaintiff Gualtieri repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

47. Alternatively, even if Plaintiff's account was not subject to continued interest pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card – which it was – the account was subject to interest by operation of law.

48. Plaintiff's debt was incurred pursuant to a contract between Plaintiff and Barclays Bank.

49. N.Y.C.P.L.R. § 5001(a) provides that interest shall be recovered upon a sum awarded because of a breach a contract.

50. An award of interest under § 5001 is mandatory.

51. N.Y.C.P.L.R. § 5001(b) provides that interest shall be computed from the earliest ascertainable date the cause of action existed.

52. Barclays Bank and any assignee or successor-in-interest possessed a guaranteed right to interest on the Debt from, at the latest, May 2, 2017.

53. As such, the amount stated in the Letter was subject to the accrual of interest.

54. The Letter failed to disclose that the amount stated may increase due to interest.

55. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failure, violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

THIRD COUNT
Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g
AS TO PLAINTIFF GUALTIERI

56. Plaintiff Gualtieri repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

57. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g provides that within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the

consumer a written notice containing certain enumerated information.

58. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1) requires the written notice provide “the amount of the debt.”

59. The written notice, to comply with 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1), must convey the amount of the debt clearly from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer.

60. The written notice, to comply with 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1), must convey the amount of the debt accurately from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer.

61. The written notice, to comply with 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1), must convey the amount of the debt without ambiguity from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer.

62. The written notice, to comply with 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1), must state whether interest, late fees and/or other fees are accruing.

63. The written notice, to comply with 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1), must allow the least sophisticated consumer to determine the minimum amount he or she owes at the time of the notice.

64. The written notice, to comply with 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1), must allow the least sophisticated consumer to determine what he or she will need to pay to resolve the debt at any given moment in the future.

65. The written notice, to comply with 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1), must contain an explanation, understandable by the least sophisticated consumer, of any fees or interest that may cause the balance to increase at any time in the future.

66. The failure to include the foregoing information renders an otherwise accurate statement of the “amount of the debt” violative of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1).

67. The Letter fails to indicate the minimum amount Plaintiff owed at the time of the Letter.

68. The Letter fails to provide information that would allow the least sophisticated consumer to determine the minimum amount he or she owes at the time of the Letter.

69. The Letter fails to provide information that would allow Plaintiff to determine what Plaintiff will need to pay to resolve the debt at any given moment in the future.

70. The Letter fails to provide information that would allow the least sophisticated consumer to determine what he or she will need to pay to resolve the debt at any given moment in the future.

71. The Letter fails to provide information that would allow the least sophisticated consumer to determine the amount of interest owed.

72. For instance, the Letter fails to indicate the applicable interest rate.

73. For instance, the Letter fails to indicate the date of accrual of interest.

74. For instance, the Letter fails to indicate the amount of interest during any measurable period.

75. The Letter fails to provide information that would allow the least sophisticated consumer to determine the amount of late fees owed.

76. For instance, the Letter fails to indicate the amount of late fees.

77. For instance, the Letter fails to indicate the date such fees will be added.

78. For instance, the Letter fails to indicate the amount of late fees during any measurable period.

79. The Letter fails to contain an explanation, understandable by the least sophisticated consumer, of any fees and interest that may cause the amount stated to increase.

80. The Letter fails to state whether interest, late fees and/or other fees are accruing.

81. The Letter fails to state what part of the amount stated is attributable to principal.

82. The Letter fails to state what part of the amount stated is attributable to interest.

83. The Letter fails to state what part of the amount stated is attributable to late fees.

84. The Letter fails to state what part of the amount stated is attributable to other fees.

85. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine the minimum amount owed at the time of the Letter.

86. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine what she will need to pay to resolve the debt at any given moment in the future.

87. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine the amount of his or her debt.

88. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine the amount of her debt because the consumer would not know whether interest and fees would continue to accrue, or whether the amount of the debt was static.

89. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, did not convey “the amount of

the debt” clearly from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer.

90. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, did not convey “the amount of the debt” accurately from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer.

91. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, did not convey “the amount of the debt” without ambiguity from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer.

92. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, renders the statement of the amount of the debt, even if otherwise accurate, violative of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1).

93. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, did not adequately set forth “the amount of the debt” as required by 15 U.S.C. § 1692g.

94. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692g.

FOURTH COUNT
Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e
AS TO PLAINTIFF GUALTIERI

95. Plaintiff Gualtieri repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

96. As previously set forth, the Letter sets forth a “Balance Due” of \$2,560.25.

97. As previously set forth, Plaintiff was always charged interest on any balance carried on the account.

98. As previously set forth, Plaintiff was always charged late fees on any payments due but not timely made by Plaintiff.

99. As previously set forth, Plaintiff was never informed by anyone that the terms and conditions of the credit card were changed.

100. The Letter fails to disclose whether the amount stated may increase due to additional interest.

101. The Letter fails to disclose whether the amount stated may increase due to additional late fees.

102. The Letter fails to indicate whether the creditor will accept payment of the amount stated in full satisfaction of the debt if payment is made by a specified date.

103. A collection letter violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692e if it can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings, one of which is inaccurate.

104. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, and because interest and late

fees were always charged on the account and Plaintiff was never informed by anyone that interest and late fees would no longer be applied, can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to mean that interest was still accruing.

105. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, and because interest and late fees were always charged on the account and Plaintiff was never informed by anyone that interest and late fees would no longer be applied, can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to mean that late fees were still accruing.

106. The Letter could also reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to mean that interest was no longer accruing.

107. The Letter could also reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to mean that late fees were no longer accruing.

108. The Letter could reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to mean that the debt could be satisfied in full by payment of the amount stated.

109. The Letter could reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to mean that the debt could be satisfied in full by payment of the amount stated at any time after receipt of the Letter.

110. The Letter could also reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to mean that the amount stated was accurate only on the date of the Letter because of the continued accumulation of interest and/or late fees.

111. Because the Letter can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings, one of which is inaccurate, as described, it is deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

112. For these reasons, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

FIFTH COUNT
Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e
AS TO PLAINTIFF RONCONE

113. Plaintiff Roncone repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

114. The Debt was incurred on a Priceline Rewards Visa credit card, also underwritten by Barclays Bank.

115. The Letter sets forth a “Balance Due” of \$5,080.18.

116. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card, Barclays Bank charged Plaintiff interest on any balance carried on the account.

117. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card, Barclays Bank charged Plaintiff late fees on any payments due but not timely made by Plaintiff.

118. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card, Barclays Bank charged Plaintiff other fees on the account.

119. The right to collect from Plaintiff interest on any balance carried on the account was not waived by Barclays Bank.

120. The right to collect from Plaintiff late fees on any payments due but not timely made by Plaintiff was not waived by Barclays Bank.

121. The right to collect from Plaintiff other fees on the account was not waived by Barclays Bank.

122. The right to collect from Plaintiff interest on any balance carried on the account was not waived by any assignee or successor-in-interest.

123. The right to collect from Plaintiff late fees on any payments due but not timely made by Plaintiff was not waived by any assignee or successor-in-interest.

124. The right to collect from Plaintiff other fees on the account was not waived by any assignee or successor-in-interest.

125. Plaintiff was never informed by anyone that the terms and conditions of the credit card were changed.

126. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card, interest continued to accrue on any balance unpaid.

127. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card, late fees continued to accrue on any payments due but not timely made by Plaintiff.

128. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card, other fees continued to accrue on the account.

129. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card, Barclays Bank and any assignee or successor-in-interest had the legal right to collect from Plaintiff interest on any balance carried on the account.

130. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card, Barclays Bank and any

assignee or successor-in-interest had the legal right to collect from Plaintiff late fees on any payments due but not timely made by Plaintiff.

131. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card, Barclays Bank and any assignee or successor-in-interest had the legal right to collect from Plaintiff other fees on the account.

132. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card, the legal right of Barclays Bank and any assignee or successor-in-interest to collect from Plaintiff interest on any balance carried on the account is not waived by Barclays Bank or any assignee or successor-in-interest as a result of a failure by either Barclays Bank or any assignee or successor-in-interest at any point in time to attempt to collect from Plaintiff the aforementioned interest.

133. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card, the legal right of Barclays Bank and any assignee or successor-in-interest to collect from Plaintiff late fees on any payments due but not timely made by Plaintiff is not waived by Barclays Bank or any assignee or successor-in-interest as a result of a failure by either Barclays Bank or any assignee or successor-in-interest at any point in time to attempt to collect from Plaintiff the aforementioned late fees.

134. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card, the legal right of Barclays Bank and any assignee or successor-in-interest to collect from Plaintiff other fees on the account is not waived by Barclays Bank or any assignee or successor-in-interest as a result of a failure by either Barclays Bank or any assignee or successor-in-interest at any point in time to attempt to collect from Plaintiff the aforementioned other fees.

135. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e requires debt collectors, when they notify consumers of their account balance, to disclose that the balance may increase due to interest and fees.

136. The Letter failed to disclose that the balance stated may increase due to interest.

137. The Letter failed to disclose that the balance stated may increase due to late fees.

138. The Letter failed to disclose that the balance stated may increase due to other fees.

139. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

SIXTH COUNT
Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e
AS TO PLAINTIFF RONCONE

140. Plaintiff Roncone repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

141. As previously set forth, the Letter sets forth a “Balance Due” of \$5,080.18..

142. As previously set forth, Plaintiff was always charged interest on any balance carried on the account.

143. As previously set forth, Plaintiff was always charged late fees on any payments due but not timely made by Plaintiff.

144. As previously set forth, Plaintiff was never informed by anyone that the terms and conditions of the credit card were changed.

145. The Letter fails to disclose whether the amount stated may increase due to additional interest.

146. The Letter fails to disclose whether the amount stated may increase due to additional late fees.

147. The Letter fails to indicate whether the creditor will accept payment of the amount stated in full satisfaction of the debt if payment is made by a specified date.

148. The Letter fails to indicate the minimum amount Plaintiff owed at the time of the Letter.

149. The Letter fails to provide information that would allow the least sophisticated consumer to determine the minimum amount he or she owes at the time of the Letter.

150. The Letter fails to provide information that would allow Plaintiff to determine what Plaintiff will need to pay to resolve the debt at any given moment in the future.

151. The Letter fails to provide information that would allow the least sophisticated consumer to determine what he or she will need to pay to resolve the debt at any given moment in the future.

152. The Letter fails to provide information that would allow the least sophisticated consumer to determine the amount of interest owed.

153. For instance, the Letter fails to indicate the applicable interest rate.

154. For instance, the Letter fails to indicate the date of accrual of interest.

155. For instance, the Letter fails to indicate the amount of interest during any measurable period.

156. The Letter fails to provide information that would allow the least sophisticated consumer to determine the amount of late fees owed.

157. For instance, the Letter fails to indicate the amount of late fees.

158. For instance, the Letter fails to indicate the date such fees will be added.

159. For instance, the Letter fails to indicate the amount of late fees during any measurable period.

160. The Letter fails to contain an explanation, understandable by the least sophisticated consumer, of any fees and interest that may cause the amount stated to increase.

161. The Letter fails to state whether interest, late fees and/or other fees are accruing.

162. The Letter fails to state what part of the amount stated is attributable to principal.

163. The Letter fails to state what part of the amount stated is attributable to interest.

164. The Letter fails to state what part of the amount stated is attributable to late fees.

165. The Letter fails to state what part of the amount stated is attributable to other fees.

166. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine the minimum amount owed at the time of the Letter.

167. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine what she will need to pay to resolve the debt at any given moment in the future.

168. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine the amount of his or her debt.

169. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine the amount of her debt because the consumer would not know whether interest and fees would continue to accrue, or whether the amount of the debt was static.

170. A collection letter violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692e if it can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings, one of which is inaccurate.

171. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, and because interest and late fees were always charged on the account and Plaintiff was never informed by anyone that interest and late fees would no longer be applied, can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to mean that interest was still accruing.

172. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, and because interest and late fees were always charged on the account and Plaintiff was never informed by anyone that interest and late fees would no longer be applied, can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to mean that late fees were still accruing.

173. The Letter could also reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to mean that interest was no longer accruing.

174. The Letter could also reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to mean that late fees were no longer accruing.

175. The Letter could reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to mean that the debt could be satisfied in full by payment of the amount stated.

176. The Letter could reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to mean that the debt could be satisfied in full by payment of the amount stated at any time after receipt of the Letter.

177. The Letter could also reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to mean that the amount stated was accurate only on the date of the Letter because of the continued accumulation of interest and/or late fees.

178. Because the Letter can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings, one of which is inaccurate, as described, it is deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

179. For these reasons, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

SEVENTH COUNT
Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e
AS TO PLAINTIFF RONCONE

180. Plaintiff Roncone repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

181. Alternatively, even if Plaintiff's account was not subject to continued interest pursuant to the terms and conditions of the credit card – which it was – the account was subject to interest by operation of law.

182. Plaintiff's debt was incurred pursuant to a contract between Plaintiff and Barclays Bank.

183. N.Y.C.P.L.R. § 5001(a) provides that interest shall be recovered upon a sum awarded because of a breach a contract.

184. An award of interest under § 5001 is mandatory.

185. N.Y.C.P.L.R. § 5001(b) provides that interest shall be computed from the earliest ascertainable date the cause of action existed.

186. Barclays Bank and any assignee or successor-in-interest possessed a guaranteed right to interest on the Debt from, at the latest, March 8, 2017.

187. As such, the amount stated in the Letter was subject to the accrual of interest.

188. The Letter failed to disclose that the amount stated may increase due to interest.

189. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failure, violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

JURY DEMAND

190. Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial of this action by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request judgment as follows:

- a. Damages against Defendant in favor of Plaintiff Salvatore Gualtieri pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
- b. Damages against Defendant in favor of Plaintiff Sandra J. Roncone pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
- c. Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
- d. Plaintiffs' costs; all together with
- e. Such other relief that the Court determines is just and proper.

DATED: March 9, 2018

BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC

By: /s/ Craig B. Sanders
Craig B. Sanders, Esq.
100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500
Garden City, New York 11530
Tel: (516) 203-7600
Fax: (516) 706-5055
csanders@barshaysanders.com
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Our File No.: 113735